



townhall.virginia.gov

Fast-Track Regulation Agency Background Document

Agency name	Board of Nursing, Department of Health Professions
Virginia Administrative Code (VAC) Chapter citation(s)	18VAC90-27
VAC Chapter title(s)	Regulations Governing Nursing Education Programs
Action title	Amendment to make informal conference orders consistent with the Virginia Administrative Process Act and Board policies
Date this document prepared	5/20/2025 (Amended 3/17/2026)

This information is required for executive branch review and the Virginia Registrar of Regulations, pursuant to the Virginia Administrative Process Act (APA), Executive Order 19 (2022) (EO 19), any instructions or procedures issued by the Office of Regulatory Management (ORM) or the Department of Planning and Budget (DPB) pursuant to EO 19, the Regulations for Filing and Publishing Agency Regulations (1 VAC 7-10), and the *Form and Style Requirements for the Virginia Register of Regulations and Virginia Administrative Code*.

Brief Summary

Provide a brief summary (preferably no more than 2 or 3 paragraphs) of this regulatory change (i.e., new regulation, amendments to an existing regulation, or repeal of an existing regulation). Alert the reader to all substantive matters. If applicable, generally describe the existing regulation.

The Board is proposing regulatory amendments to remove differences between IFCs across the Board of Nursing and streamline reviews of nursing education programs. IFCs for nursing education programs are one of only three IFCs whose decisions must go before the Board for review and approval. The other two types of IFCs (nurse aide education programs, medication aides) are addressed in a separate action due to timing and board votes. All other IFCs have the authority to make decisions on their cases, all of which are appealable to the Board of Nursing should a respondent wish to. This change will help shorten the lengthy review time for nursing education programs.

Acronyms and Definitions

Define all acronyms used in this form, and any technical terms that are not also defined in the “Definitions” section of the regulation.

IFC – Informal conferences

Statement of Final Agency Action

Provide a statement of the final action taken by the agency including: 1) the date the action was taken; 2) that the agency has “adopted final amendments” to the regulation; 3) the name of the agency taking the action; and 4) the title of the regulation. A suggested statement is, “On [insert date] the Board/Department of [insert name] adopted final amendments to the [title of regulation(s)].”

On May 20th, 2025, the Board of Nursing adopted final amendments to Regulations Governing Nursing Education Programs.

Mandate and Impetus

Identify the mandate for this regulatory change and any other impetus that specifically prompted its initiation (e.g., new or modified mandate, petition for rulemaking, periodic review, or board decision). For purposes of executive branch review, “mandate” has the same meaning as defined in the ORM procedures, “a directive from the General Assembly, the federal government, or a court that requires that a regulation be promulgated, amended, or repealed in whole or part.”

Consistent with Virginia Code § 2.2-4012.1, also explain why this rulemaking is expected to be noncontroversial and therefore appropriate for the fast-track rulemaking process.

The impetus for the change was internal staff review of regulations.

This regulatory change is expected to be noncontroversial and is appropriate for the fast-track rulemaking process because the proposed amendments reduce barriers to approval for nursing education programs and streamline review in the Board of Nursing.

Legal Basis

Identify (1) the promulgating agency, and (2) the state and/or federal legal authority for the regulatory change, including the most relevant citations to the Code of Virginia and Acts of Assembly chapter number(s), if applicable. Your citation must include a specific provision, if any, authorizing the promulgating agency to regulate this specific subject or program, as well as a reference to the agency’s overall regulatory authority.

Regulations of the Board of Nursing are promulgated under the general authority of Chapter 24 of Title 54.1 of the Code of Virginia. Virginia Code § 54.1-2400(6) specifically states that the general powers and duties of health regulatory boards shall be “[t]o promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.) that are reasonable and necessary to administer effectively the regulatory system.”

Purpose

Explain the need for the regulatory change, including a description of: (1) the rationale or justification, (2) the specific reasons the regulatory change is essential to protect the health, safety or welfare of citizens, and (3) the goals of the regulatory change and the problems it is intended to solve.

The rationale for this action is to eliminate unnecessary review steps that are unique to one chapter of regulation. This regulatory change is essential to protect the health, safety, and welfare of citizens because shorter review times help approve nursing education programs faster, which helps address the nursing workforce shortage in the Commonwealth. The goals of this regulatory change are to eliminate an unnecessary step of review for nursing education IFCs and the problems the change is intended to solve are inconsistent procedures for Nursing IFCs.

Substance

Briefly identify and explain the new substantive provisions, the substantive changes to existing sections, or both. A more detailed discussion is provided in the "Detail of Changes" section below.

The Board is proposing to remove references in sections 130, 160, and 230 that require the recommendation of the IFC shall go before the Board of Nursing for review and action.

Issues

Identify the issues associated with the regulatory change, including: 1) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the public, such as individual private citizens or businesses, of implementing the new or amended provisions; 2) the primary advantages and disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth; and 3) other pertinent matters of interest to the regulated community, government officials, and the public. If there are no disadvantages to the public or the Commonwealth, include a specific statement to that effect.

- 1) The primary advantages to the public are reducing the lengthy review time for nursing education programs, thereby increasing the availability of programs for prospective nurses to train. There are no disadvantages to the public.
- 2) There are no primary advantages or disadvantages to the agency or the Commonwealth.
- 3) The Director of the Department of Health Professions has reviewed the proposal and performed a competitive impact analysis. Any restraint on competition as a result of promulgating these regulations is a foreseeable, inherent, and ordinary result of the statutory obligation of the Board to protect the safety and health of citizens of the Commonwealth. The Board is authorized under § 54.1-2400 "[t]o promulgate regulations in accordance with the Administrative Process Act (§ 2.2-4000 et seq.) which are reasonable and necessary to administer effectively the regulatory system . . . Such regulations shall not conflict with the purposes and intent of this chapter or of Chapter 1 (§ 54.1-100 et seq.) and Chapter 25 (§ 54.1-2500 et seq.) of this title." The promulgated regulations do not conflict with the purpose or intent of Chapters 1 or 25 of Title 54.1.

Requirements More Restrictive than Federal

Identify and describe any requirement of the regulatory change which is more restrictive than applicable federal requirements. Include a specific citation for each applicable federal requirement, and a rationale for the need for the more restrictive requirements. If there are no applicable federal requirements, or no requirements that exceed applicable federal requirements, include a specific statement to that effect.

There are no applicable federal requirements.

Agencies, Localities, and Other Entities Particularly Affected

Consistent with § 2.2-4007.04 of the Code of Virginia, identify any other state agencies, localities, or other entities particularly affected by the regulatory change. Other entities could include local partners such as tribal governments, school boards, community services boards, and similar regional organizations. "Particularly affected" are those that are likely to bear any identified disproportionate material impact which would not be experienced by other agencies, localities, or entities. "Locality" can refer to either local governments or the locations in the Commonwealth where the activities relevant to the regulation or regulatory change are most likely to occur. If no agency, locality, or entity is particularly affected, include a specific statement to that effect.

Other State Agencies Particularly Affected – none

Localities Particularly Affected – none

Other Entities Particularly Affected – none

Economic Impact

Consistent with § 2.2-4007.04 of the Code of Virginia, identify all specific economic impacts (costs and/or benefits), anticipated to result from the regulatory change. When describing a particular economic impact, specify which new requirement or change in requirement creates the anticipated economic impact. Keep in mind that this is the proposed change versus the status quo.

Impact on State Agencies

<p><i>For your agency:</i> projected costs, savings, fees or revenues resulting from the regulatory change, including: a) fund source / fund detail; b) delineation of one-time versus on-going expenditures; and c) whether any costs or revenue loss can be absorbed within existing resources</p>	<p>The Department of Health Professions is a Special Fund agency. All operating costs for the regulatory boards are taken from fees for licensing and renewal of regulated professions.</p> <p>This change would have no effect on financial costs or savings.</p>
<p><i>For other state agencies:</i> projected costs, savings, fees or revenues resulting from the regulatory change, including a delineation of one-time versus on-going expenditures.</p>	<p>There are no costs to other state agencies.</p>
<p><i>For all agencies:</i> Benefits the regulatory change is designed to produce.</p>	<p>There are no benefits to state agencies.</p>

Impact on Localities

If this analysis has been reported on the ORM Economic Impact form, indicate the tables (1a or 2) on which it was reported. Information provided on that form need not be repeated here.

Projected costs, savings, fees or revenues resulting from the regulatory change.	No impact on localities.
Benefits the regulatory change is designed to produce.	No benefit to localities.

Impact on Other Entities

If this analysis has been reported on the ORM Economic Impact form, indicate the tables (1a, 3, or 4) on which it was reported. Information provided on that form need not be repeated here.

Description of the individuals, businesses, or other entities likely to be affected by the regulatory change. If no other entities will be affected, include a specific statement to that effect.	This change would primarily affect Board staff, however nursing education programs and others that come before those IFCs could be affected as well.
Agency’s best estimate of the number of such entities that will be affected. Include an estimate of the number of small businesses affected. Small business means a business entity, including its affiliates, that: a) is independently owned and operated and; b) employs fewer than 500 full-time employees or has gross annual sales of less than \$6 million.	Numbers of regulated entities who could be affected can be found on the agency’s quarterly licensing reports, located here: Virginia Dept. of Health Professions - Agency Reports
All projected costs for affected individuals, businesses, or other entities resulting from the regulatory change. Be specific and include all costs including, but not limited to: a) projected reporting, recordkeeping, and other administrative costs required for compliance by small businesses; b) specify any costs related to the development of real estate for commercial or residential purposes that are a consequence of the regulatory change; c) fees; d) purchases of equipment or services; and e) time required to comply with the requirements.	No costs will be incurred by this change.
Benefits the regulatory change is designed to produce.	A reduction in review time by reducing an unnecessary requirement.

Alternatives to Regulation

Describe any viable alternatives to the regulatory change that were considered, and the rationale used by the agency to select the least burdensome or intrusive alternative that meets the essential purpose of the regulatory change. Also, include discussion of less intrusive or less costly alternatives for small businesses, as defined in § 2.2-4007.1 of the Code of Virginia, of achieving the purpose of the regulatory change.

There is no alternative to regulation. This requirement currently exists in regulation, and a fast-track action must be taken to remove it.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

Consistent with § 2.2-4007.1 B of the Code of Virginia, describe the agency's analysis of alternative regulatory methods, consistent with health, safety, environmental, and economic welfare, that will accomplish the objectives of applicable law while minimizing the adverse impact on small business. Alternative regulatory methods include, at a minimum: 1) establishing less stringent compliance or reporting requirements; 2) establishing less stringent schedules or deadlines for compliance or reporting requirements; 3) consolidation or simplification of compliance or reporting requirements; 4) establishing performance standards for small businesses to replace design or operational standards required in the proposed regulation; and 5) the exemption of small businesses from all or any part of the requirements contained in the regulatory change.

(1) This proposed action already creates a less stringent level of review. (2) No schedules or compliance requirements exist. (3) No schedules or compliance requirements exist. (4) No design or operational standards exist. (5) The agency does not regulate small businesses and, if it did, could not exempt small businesses from public safety requirements without severe detriment to the public.

Public Participation

Indicate how the public should contact the agency to submit comments on this regulation, and whether a public hearing will be held, by completing the text below.

Consistent with § 2.2-4011 of the Code of Virginia, if an objection to the use of the fast-track process is received within the 30-day public comment period from 10 or more persons, any member of the applicable standing committee of either house of the General Assembly or of the Joint Commission on Administrative Rules, the agency shall: 1) file notice of the objections with the Registrar of Regulations for publication in the Virginia Register and 2) proceed with the normal promulgation process with the initial publication of the fast-track regulation serving as the Notice of Intended Regulatory Action.

If you are objecting to the use of the fast-track process as the means of promulgating this regulation, please clearly indicate your objection in your comment. Please also indicate the nature of, and reason for, your objection to using this process.

The Board of Nursing is providing an opportunity for comments on this regulatory proposal, including but not limited to (i) the costs and benefits of the regulatory proposal and any alternative approaches, (ii) the potential impacts of the regulation, and (iii) the agency's regulatory flexibility analysis stated in this background document.

Anyone wishing to submit written comments for the public comment file may do so through the Public Comment Forums feature of the Virginia Regulatory Town Hall web site at: <https://townhall.virginia.gov>. Comments may also be submitted by mail to Erin Barrett, 9960 Mayland Drive, Suite 300, Henrico, Virginia 23233; by email to erin.barrett@dhp.virginia.gov; by fax to (804) 527-4434. In order to be considered, comments must be received by 11:59 pm on the last day of the public comment period.

Detail of Changes

List all regulatory changes and the consequences of the changes. Explain the new requirements and what they mean rather than merely quoting the text of the regulation. For example, describe the intent of the language and the expected impact. Describe the difference between existing requirement(s) and/or

agency practice(s) and what is being proposed in this regulatory change. Use all tables that apply, but delete inapplicable tables.

If an existing VAC Chapter(s) is being amended or repealed, use Table 1 to describe the changes between existing VAC Chapter(s) and the proposed regulation. If existing VAC Chapter(s) or sections are being repealed and replaced, ensure Table 1 clearly shows both the current number and the new number for each repealed section and the replacement section.

Table 1: Changes to Existing VAC Chapter(s)

Current chapter-section number	New chapter-section number, if applicable	Current requirements in VAC	Change, intent, rationale, and likely impact of new requirements
27-130		Process for denying or withdrawing initial program approval	<p>Remove language in subsections (A)(3) and (A)(4) and combine the remaining parts to eliminate the requirement that a recommendation from the IFC go to “the board or a panel thereof for review and action.” The new first sentence in (A)(3) would read “If the informal conference denies approval, the decision shall be reflected in a board order, and no further action by the board is required.”</p> <p>This will remove an unnecessary level of review for the education program IFCs and speed up the approval process.</p> <p>The same changes are made in (B)(3) and (B)(4) verbatim.</p>
27-160		Process for denying full program approval	The same changes are made in this section, falling under (C)(2) and (C)(3)
27-230		Process for continuing and withdrawal of full approval	The same changes are made in this section, falling under (C)(2) and (C)(3)