The State Board of Elections ("the Board") meeting was held Tuesday, September 17, 1 2019, in the Virginia State Capitol, Senate Room 3, in Richmond, Virginia. In attendance: 2 Robert Brink, Chairman, John O'Bannon, Vice Chairman and Jamilah LeCruise, Secretary, 3 represented the State Board of Elections ("the Board"). Christopher E. "Chris" Piper, 4 Commissioner, and Jessica Bowman, Deputy Commissioner represented the Department of 5 Elections ("ELECT"). Heather Hays Lockerman represented the Office of the Attorney General 6 7 ("OAG"). Chairman Brink called the meeting to order at 1:00 P.M. The first order of business was for the Board to approve minutes for the August 6 Board 8 meeting, the August 6 Public Hearing for 1 VAC 20-90-30, and the August 22, 2019 meeting. 9 Chairman Brink presented the minutes for those meetings. The Vice Chair moved that the Board 10 approve the minutes from the August 6, 2019 Board meeting. Secretary LeCruise seconded the 11 motion, and the motion passed unanimously. The Secretary moved that the Board approve the 12 minutes from the August 6, 2019 Public Hearing. Vice Chair O'Bannon seconded the motion, 13 and the motion passed unanimously. The Vice Chair moved that the Board approve the minutes 14 from the August 22, 2019 Board meeting. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the 15 16 motion passed unanimously. The next order of business was the Commissioner's Report, presented by Commissioner 17 Piper. The Commissioner introduced new employees of ELECT including Danny Davenport, 18

Policy Analyst, Tanya Pruett, Senior Registrar Liaison, Matthew Fritz, GIS Analyst, Hilary

Small, VCU Wilder Fellow, and Sherry Cerny, Election Services Specialist. Commissioner Piper
advised the Board that the Elections Administration team reviewed 967 ballots that will be used

for the November general election. The Commissioner applauded the Campaign Finance team for completing their deadline. Commissioner Piper stated that absentee voting begins Friday.

The Commissioner noted a pending issue at the federal level for the United States' potential withdrawal from the Universal Posting Union ("UPU"). Commissioner Piper explained that the UPU is an international agreement to ensure the standard delivery of mail globally. The Commissioner informed the Board that if the UPU does not accede to US demands, the US will withdrawal from the current agreement effective October 17, 2019. Commissioner Piper advised that if the United States cannot come to a bilateral agreement with the nation, mail may not be sent back to Virginia from overseas voters. The Commissioner stated that ELECT had asked local general registrars to notify overseas and military voters to request the absentee ballot as soon as possible and return the voted ballot no later than October 17, 2019. The Commissioner informed the Board that the General Assembly assigned ELECT to review the "no excuse inperson absentee voting" law that will go into effect in 2020. Commissioner Piper advised the Board that a few ELECT staff members went to Mecklenburg County, North Carolina to observe their early voting operation. The Commissioner congratulated its new Voter Registrar Association of Virginia President from Wise, Virginia: Allison Robbins.

The next order of business was the Nationwide CyberSecurity Review, presented by Daniel Persico, Chief Information Officer. Mr. Persico informed the Board that at a recent meeting, the Board discussed HB2178 and approved a risk assessment. He advised the Board that ELECT wants to allow localities to begin internal audits. Mr. Persico introduced Karen Tinucci to present the Risk Assessment presentation. This report is in the Working Papers for the September 17, 2019 meeting. Vice Chair asked if VACO and the other relevant constituent

groups were aware that the assessment was coming? Mr. Persico stated that if approved today,
ELECT will send out the first formal communication on the following business day. Chairman
Brink asked whether the Workgroup had identified any mechanism to share the cybersecurity
standards with the governing bodies of each locality. Mr. Persico advised that there is no
mechanism at this time but that once the Workgroup starts broadcasting the information and
attending VACO conferences, the Workgroup will be able to address a broader audience.

Secretary LeCruise moved that the Board adopt the proposed Risk Assessment minimum security standard related to information systems classified as sensitive to election related activities. In support of improving risk communications and striving to baseline and measure continual improvement, this standard includes a provision that each locality: Is a member of the Center for Internet Security (CIS) Elections Infrastructure Information Sharing & Analysis Center (EI-ISAC) and/or Multi-State ISAC (MS-ISAC); and Completes a self-assessment annually as requested by the Department of Elections, utilizing a tool based on best practices; such as the CIS Nationwide Cybersecurity Review (NCSR) Assessment. Vice Chair O'Bannon seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next order of business was the Voting System Certification Standard, presented by Commissioner Piper. Commissioner Piper stated that certification standards had not been updated in many years. The Commissioner informed the Board that with the partners of CGI Technology Solutions ("CGI INC."), they have been working together to develop the certification standards. Commissioner Piper introduced Jaime Woltz to present the report. *This report is in the Working Papers for the September 17, 2019 meeting.* Chairman Brink asked whether the new standard requires all systems to be recertified. Ms. Woltz stated that the testing

is done at the federal level but once completed, localities must demonstrate compliance to the
Commonwealth. Commissioner Piper stated that this would provide flexibility to the vendors to
make updates to the software without having to go through the recertification process. Vice
Chair O'Bannon moved that the Board adopt the staff proposal for Voting System Certification
Standard as presented [amended]. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion
passed unanimously.

The next order of business was the SB1564 regulations presented by Samantha Buckley, Policy Analyst. This report is in the Working Papers for the September 17, 2019 meeting. Vice Chair O'Bannon moved that the Board approve the Department's proposal for regulatory action and put forward 1VAC20-50-40 for public comment. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next order of business was the Delegations of Authority 2019 presented by Dave Nichols, Director of Elections Services. *This report is in the Working Papers for the September 17, 2019 meeting.* Chairman Brink suggested deferring the adoption of the Delegations until the October 29 meeting. Vice Chair O'Bannon asked Commissioner Piper if this changes current relationships. Commissioner Piper stated that ELECT currently works under the existing delegations. The Commissioner informed the Board that the Delegations of Authority 2019 have been updated to align the work of ELECT with the current Code of Virginia.

Mr. Nichols informed the Board that one of the changes between the old and new version is that the General Assembly removed certain responsibilities given to the Board and reassigned them to the Department. He explained to the Board that the memos for each chapter contain three items of information; delegations regarding new or amended Code, a suggestion which should be

delegated to the Department and those that should remain with the Board, and any recommendations the Department has for changing existing delegations and who has the authority.

Mr. Nichols advised the Board that generally, delegations that constitute administrative functions are delegated to the Department, but that under the proposed Delegations of Authority 2019 the Board retains policy and standard decisions. Mr. Nichols advised the Board that Chapter 9.3 and 9.5 were originally Chapter 9. He explained that in 2006, the General Assembly fundamentally rewrote the entire section and eliminated Chapter 9. Chairman Brink informed the Board that they would defer the adoption of the delegations until the October 29 meeting.

The next order of business was Stand by Your Ad, presented by Arielle A. Schneider, Policy Analyst. The first complaint was against Audrey for Supervisor. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with two print media violations, one sign, and one letter. She stated that Ms. Regnery provided a response, informing the Board that she has made the necessary changes to include a disclosure statement on her advertising materials. Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Audrey for Supervisor in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to two print media advertisements and assess a \$100 penalty. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Friends of Steve Adragna. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with two complaints. The first complaint contained two door hangers with no disclosure, and the second complaint contained one door hanger with no disclosure. Ms. Schneider informed the Board that Mr. Adragna provided an apology and explained the campaign's effort to correct

the errors. Vice Chair O'Bannon asked Ms. Schneider what does remediation constitute? Ms.

Schneider informed the Board that remediating an advertisement means to provide a disclosure where there was no disclosure before or to provide correct disclosure language.

Vice Chair O'Bannon asked by what mechanism does ELECT document remedial action. Ms. Schneider explained to the Board that the schedule of penalties provided by the previous Board in 2012 provides that a first time violation can be halved if there is an apology, explanation, or remedial action. She stated that if ELECT is basing the reduction solely on the remedial action, ELECT will wait until the campaign provides an image of the remediated advertisement. Secretary LeCruise moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Friends of Steve Adragna in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to three print media advertisements and assess a \$100 penalty. Chairman Brink seconded the motion.

Ms. Hays Lockerman informed the Board that there are other complaints involving multiple instants of the same media that will be presented today. She explained to the Board that their response for one might impact the response on another. Commissioner Piper asked hypothetically if 100 of the same business cards are considered to be one violation, and then it is extended to yards signs, what would be the precedent created by this outcome? Ms. Hays Lockerman stated that she would like the penalties and outcomes to be consistent. She noted that these cases are not exempt from the APA; they have to provide justification for the final order assessing a penalty. Chairman Brink suggested the Board defer the complaint until the end of Stand By Your Ad.

The next complaint was against Friends of Virginia Smith. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with 35-yard signs with no disclosure. She also provided the Board with the location of each yard sign. Vice Chair O'Bannon asked how much would the penalty be for 35 sign violation without apology or remediation. Ms. Schneider informed the Board the penalty would be \$3,500 without apology and/or remediation and \$1,750 with an apology and/or remediation.

Ms. Smith addressed the Board and sincerely apologized for not having the disclaimer on the yard signs. She stated that this is her first time running for office and was unaware of the disclosure. Ms. Smith informed the Board that she has added the disclosure to all 35 signs and presented the picture to the Board. Due to the apology Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Friends of Virginia Smith in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to 35 print media advertisements and assess a \$1,750 penalty. Chairman Brink seconded the motion, voted in favor of the motion and the motion passed 2-1, Secretary LeCruise having voted no.

The next complaint was against the Howard Phillips Campaign. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with one yard sign with no disclosure. Mr. Phillips addressed the Board. He stated that he first received notification of the violation from his local registrar and was unaware the complaint had been sent to the State. Ms. Phillips apologized for the error and informed the Board that once he received the complaint from his local registrar, he corrected his signs by adding the disclosure. Chairman Brink asked Mr. Phillips if he had access to any material informing him of this requirement. Mr. Phillips informed the Board that he did have a packet containing the information. Due to an apology Secretary LeCruise moved *subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find the Howard Phillips Campaign in* 

violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to one print media advertisement and assess a \$50 penalty. Vice Chair O'Bannon seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against J. Baxter Stegall for Nottoway Commonwealth's Attorney. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with one print media yard sign with no disclosure. Mr. Stegall addressed the Board. He stated that he had a two-part request. The first is a request for the Board to find the evidence against him insufficient. Mr. Stegall informed the Board that the requirements presented in §24.2-956 include Print Media, which is defined in §24.2-955.1. He stated that the last sentence in the Print Media definition says "If a single print media advertisement consists of multiple pages, folds, or faces, the disclosure requirement of this section applies only to one page, fold, or face." Mr. Stegall advised the Board that the evidence only shows one side of the yard sign even though the sign is two-sided.

Ms. Schneider advised the Board that if the candidate can provide a photo of the disclosure on the other side of the yard sign, she would recommend that the Board dismiss the complaint. Mr. Stegall stated that he has added waterproof stickers with the disclosure to all of the yard signs. Vice Chair O'Bannon asked what are the font size rules for disclosures? Ms. Schneider informed the Board that the 7pt font sufficiency only applies to electronic disclosures. She stated that if it is not an electronic disclosure, the minimum font size required is 7 point, but that the Board must decide if the size is adequately conspicuous. Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3. to find J. Baxter Stegall for Nottoway Commonwealth's Attorney in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure

requirements with regard to one print media advertisement and assess a \$50 penalty. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Jeff Huffman. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with two complaints, one sign without the disclaimer and the second sign with a disclaimer. Ms. Schneider provided the Board with Mr. Huffman's written response. This report is in the Working Papers for the September 17, 2019 meeting. Mr. Huffman provided an apology and informed the Board that he had made the necessary changes to 179 signs. Ms. Schneider informed that Board that for the first sign, she would recommend a \$50 penalty due to the apology and remedial action. She advised the Board that the second sign states "Authorized by candidate", and in 2015 the previous Board passed the substantial compliance policy. Ms. Schneider stated that in order to determine a disclosure as substantially similar, the language must articulate what the disclosure language was meant to communicate. Due to the apology Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Jeff Huffman in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to one print media advertisement, for which the Board assesses a \$50 penalty.

Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Kersey for Sheriff. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with two undisclosed print media items, one-yard sign, and one van advertisement. Mr. Kersey addressed the Board. He apologized for his error and explained that he added the disclosure to his signs. Chairman Brink asked if there was a copy of the remediated sign. Tammy Alexander informed the Board that she does have proof of remediation for Mr. Huffman. Due to the apology and remedial action Secretary LeCruise moved *subject to the Board's authority under* 

the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Kersey for Sheriff in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to two print media advertisements and assess a \$100 penalty. Vice Chair O'Bannon seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

The next complaint was against Trudy Berry for Delegate. Ms. Schneider presented the Board with an undisclosed website. She informed the Board that the websites had subsequently been disclosed. Due to the apology Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Trudy Berry for Delegate in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to one print media advertisement and assess a \$50 penalty. Secretary LeCruise seconded the motion, and the motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Schneider referred back to the Friends of Steve Adragna complaint. Vice Chair O'Bannon moved subject to the Board's authority under the Code of Virginia §24.2-955.3, to find Friends of Steve Adragna in violation of Stand By Your Ad print media disclosure requirements with regard to three print media advertisements and assess a \$150 penalty. Chairman Brink seconded the motion, and the motion passed 2-1.

Chairman Brink opened the floor to public comment. Dr. Clara Belle Wheeler, a former Board member from Albemarle County, asked the Board would they speak with the local General Registrar about political signs on private property.

Chairman Brink moved to adjourn the Board. The meeting adjourned at approximately 3:15 P.M.