# Office of Regulatory Management

## **Economic Review Form**

Agency name	DBHDS
Virginia Administrative	12 VAC 35-230
Code (VAC) Chapter	
citation(s)	
VAC Chapter title(s)	Operation of the Individual and Family Support Program
Action title	Amendments to establish criteria and annual funding priorities through the Annual Funding Program Guidelines and ensure public input.
Date this document prepared	05/14/2024
Regulatory Stage (including Issuance of Guidance Documents)	Final

## **Cost Benefit Analysis**

### Table 1a: Costs and Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)

Table Ta. Costs and	Benefits of the Proposed Changes (Primary Option)
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: The amendments update the way IFSP funding is
Indirect Costs &	assigned from first come, first served to a criteria-based system. The
Benefits	new system does not impact the number of individuals receiving
(Monetized)	services but may result in changes in which individuals qualify. As
	such, costs and benefits as a result of this regulatory change are
	expected to zero out or have benefits that outweigh the costs due to the
	efficiency of resource allocation created under the new system.
	• The changes are expected to result in potential economic costs for some individuals who received the full award amount (\$1,000) through the first come, first served system, as they may be a lower priority applicant as a result of the criteria-based system. Conversely, economic benefits are expected for those who previously did not receive any IFSP support under the first come, first served system, as these individuals may receive funding under the new criteria-based system. As the total amount of funding provided through the IFSP program remains unchanged, these costs and benefits are expected to balance out across the system, resulting in a neutral economic impact. The changes are expected to benefit the entire system, as individuals with the greatest need will be prioritized for support. This will result in a more efficient assignment of

	result in one-time c system. However, Developmental Serv technological funct system in recent yea have been connected that, overall, has co during the applicati additional staff c establishment of a funds.  • The functionality of a result of this chang system individuals v soon as the system of the IFSP portal. As t submitting an applic	The development of a new application process could potentially result in one-time costs for DBHDS staff to develop the new system. However, ongoing efforts by the Division of Developmental Services and IFSP have contributed to greater technological functionality of the waiver system and IFSP system in recent years. These IFSP portal and WAMs system have been connected to facilitate automation across the system that, overall, has contributed to reduced manual work by staff during the application process. As such, there has been no additional staff cost related to the development and establishment of a criteria-based system for awarding IFSP	
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
1101001200 1 010000	(a)	(b)	
(3) Net Monetized Benefit			
(4) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)			
(5) Information Sources			

## Table 1b: Costs and Benefits under the Status Quo (No change to the regulation)

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits	Not needed as this regulatory change was mandated by Item 313 N of the 2022 Acts of Assembly.		
(Monetized)			
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	

	(a)	(b)
(3) Net Monetized Benefit		
(4) Other Costs &		
Benefits (Non-		
Monetized)		
(5) Information		
Sources		

Table 1c: Costs and Benefits under Alternative Approach(es)

Tubic ic. Costs una	Deficites under Aiternative A	approuen(es)	
(1) Direct &	Not needed as this regulatory change was mandated by Item 313 N of the		
Indirect Costs &	2022 Acts of Assembly.		
Benefits			
(Monetized)			
(2) Present			
Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs	Direct & Indirect Benefits	
	(a)	(b)	
(3) Net Monetized			
Benefit			
(4) Other Costs &			
Benefits (Non-			
Monetized)			
,			
(5) Information			
Sources			

## **Impact on Local Partners**

## **Table 2: Impact on Local Partners**

(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Local partners include community services boards (CSBs)
Indirect Costs &	that establish the priority status of individuals who receive a waiver. Due
Benefits	to the change in award criteria, there was additional outreach from local
(Monetized)	CSBs to individuals receiving a waiver to ensure that each individual
	was aware of his priority level. This is expected to be a one-time cost
	resulting from the initial system change. This cost cannot be calculated
	as there is no record of amount of staff time spent working with an
	individual specifically on this matter.

(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a)	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(4) Assistance		
(5) Information Sources		

## **Impacts on Families**

## **Table 3: Impact on Families**

(1) Direct & Indirect Costs & Benefits (Monetized)	Direct Costs: As discussed in Table 1a, it is possible that some families may experience a reduction in the award amount, while others may see an increase when compared to the previous system. This may result in costs or benefits for individual families, however, as the total amount of IFSP funding distributed has not changed, these costs and benefits are expected to balance out across the system as a whole.  Indirect Costs: There may be some initial one-time costs of families having to learn how the new system works and how to complete the modified application. DBHDS IFSP staff have worked to mitigate this cost through the development of training videos and targeted outreach to help families navigate this change. The old first come, first served system similarly required training for first time applicants, so this cost is			
	simply a replacement of already present costs of learning how to apply for an IFSP award.			
(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs  (a)  Direct & Indirect Benefits  (b)			
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)				

(4) Information	
Sources	
Impacts on Small B	usinesses
Table 4: Impact on	Small Businesses
(1) Direct &	Direct Costs: Individuals who receive an IFSP award may use the funds
Indirect Costs &	to purchase goods or services that meet the criteria established by the
Benefits	program. Some small businesses may be impacted if an individual who
(Monetized)	received greater support under the old system is no longer able to
	purchase goods or services due to a reduced award amount. However, as
	other individuals may receive an award under the new system when they
	did not before, some small businesses may see an economic benefit.
	There is no requirement that IFSP funds are used specifically on small
	businesses, therefore, it is possible that there will be no impact on small
	businesses at all. If an impact is observed, it is expected to be neutral
	across the system as there has been no change in the total funding

(2) Present Monetized Values	Direct & Indirect Costs (a)	Direct & Indirect Benefits (b)
(3) Other Costs & Benefits (Non- Monetized)		
(4) Alternatives		
(5) Information Sources		

amount provided by IFSP across the system.

### **Changes to Number of Regulatory Requirements**

### **Table 5: Regulatory Reduction**

Change in Regulatory Requirements

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Authority of Change	Initial Count	Additions	Subtractions	Total Net Change in Requirements
	(M/A):	18*	2	0	2
	(D/A):	0			
	(M/R):	0			
	(D/R):	7	0	0	0
			ı	Grand Total of	(M/A): 2
				Changes in	(D/A): 0
				Requirements:	(M/R): 0
					(D/R): 0

<sup>\*</sup>These regulatory changes were mandated by Item 313 N of the 2022 Acts of Assembly. The goal of this regulatory action is to facilitate compliance with the U. S. Department of Justice's Settlement Agreement with Virginia (United States of America v. Commonwealth of Virginia, Civil Action No. 3:12cv059-JAG) (https://dbhds.virginia.gov/doj-settlement-agreement/).

#### Key:

Please use the following coding if change is mandatory or discretionary and whether it affects externally regulated parties or only the agency itself:

(M/A): Mandatory requirements mandated by federal and/or state statute affecting the agency itself

(D/A): Discretionary requirements affecting agency itself

(M/R): Mandatory requirements mandated by federal and/or state statute affecting external parties, including other agencies

(D/R): Discretionary requirements affecting external parties, including other agencies

#### Cost Reductions or Increases (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Requirement	Initial Cost	New Cost	Overall Cost Savings/Increases
N/A				

### Other Decreases or Increases in Regulatory Stringency (if applicable)

VAC Section(s) Involved*	Description of Regulatory Change	Overview of How It Reduces or Increases Regulatory Burden
N/A		

Length of Guidance Documents (only applicable if guidance document is being revised)

Title of Guidance	Original Length	New Length	Net Change in
Document			Length
DD 07 The	<mark></mark>	1,592	
Individual and			
Family Support			
Funding Guidelines			
(FY2023)			

<sup>\*</sup>If the agency is modifying a guidance document that has regulatory requirements, it should report any change in requirements in the appropriate chart(s).